

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Tilbury2](#)  
**Subject:** Proposed link road.  
**Date:** 22 February 2018 16:04:35

---

Hello,

I guess there will be opportunity in the future but having read through a lot of the documents regarding the development and proposed link road thought I would ask now.

The proposed link road is going to run very close to the rear of my property and although there is mention of sound barriers ,estimates of number of vehicles and pollution none of this takes into account the possible link to the new crossing, which would have a huge effect to the numbers.

With everything that is being proposed by Forth Ports, the new crossing and now RWE wanting to build a new power station I think our houses will be devalued and it will be not an ideal place to live for a number of residents.

Maybe the position of the road if linked to the crossing should be assessed again and a route through the ports already owned land be considered to take it further away from residents.

Kind regards

Mick

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Tilbury2](#)  
**Subject:** TR030003  
**Date:** 27 February 2018 15:28:40

---

Hello,

I would like to put my concerns over some of the plans associated around Tilbury 2 and the Thames crossing.

As a local resident with all of the proposals happening just over my back fence I am really concerned over the quality of life that we all as residents can expect.

The main reason I see for Tilbury 2 is not one of expansion, it is one of change to be able to compete with the London Gateway. Who are taking business away from Tilbury Docks.

My concerns are the cmat plant, with noise and dust. Could this not be located in the existing port.

Pollution in general

The new jetty which is already being sold to P&O with the main feature of not having to use locks to access the ports which in turn will allow the trucks to be on the road by 0500 hours, straight onto the new road right past our houses. P&O want to increase the number of vehicles by thousands.

The link road would be used far greater than reported and if linked to the new crossing it will be a nightmare for residents, in my opinion the link road should not even be considered which will take away a lot of the traffic and put it where it was originally intended. Current thinking is purely for the benefit of the port.

The rail corridor should be looked at with the possibility of diverting all goods trains onto this track so as to at least take some noise way from residents.

The sound barriers should be more than just dirt embankments as I doubt these will be sufficient, especially considering the amount of vehicles could way more than reported and that was already at 3000 per day. Offer of soundproofing for houses etc, is all well and good but what of when outside. Could sound damping fencing be put on the back of the properties at 8ft high?

I moved into this bungalow as a retirement plan and because my wife suffers from a number of illnesses, including depression and fiber myalgia and I am really concerned over her well being stuck at home all day

In summary objections/concerns

1. Cmat plant
2. Pollution
3. The new jetty
4. The link road

5. Rail link
6. Sound barriers

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---